

To: CN=Carolyn Yale/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: CN=Bruce Herbold/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Erin
Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Karen
Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Sam
Ziegler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[];
N=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Karen
Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Sam
Ziegler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[];
N=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Sam
Ziegler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[];
N=Sam Ziegler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom
Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Tim Vendlinski/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Tue 4/26/2011 5:03:17 PM
Subject: Re: BDCP Hayes-Laird meeting yesterday- and beyond

Thanks for you interesting insights, Carolyn.

Unlike the Sacramento Bee, the Modesto Bee (Michael Doyle) carried a link to the report.
<http://www.modbee.com/2011/04/25/1660711/study-climate-shift-means-big.html>

It was disturbing to read the comments posted on the Sacramento Bee's page regarding the report, science, government workers, etc.

While the people who write this stuff seem really unhinged, and (hopefully) represent a small percentage of our customers, they're the ones paying a disproportionate amount of attention to the process with a disproportionate level of anger.

From: Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US
To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Sam
Ziegler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/26/2011 12:40 PM
Subject: Re: BDCP Hayes-Laird meeting yesterday- and beyond

Erin, thanks for the fast write-up.

To all-

Interior/Reclamation released an interesting report yesterday ("SECURE") on climate change and water supply impacts in various of its project basins, including the Sacramento and San Joaquin. One of the striking findings is an estimated decrease in mean annual runoff of 8.7% in the San Joaquin basin (cf 2.5% increase on the Sacramento side).

Putting the BDCP process and players in context, I wonder that there isn't an effort to rescope the water supply planning and make more use of the conservation/transfers side of the ledger.

The issue of Delta diversions obviously involves more than the two projects: Upstream of Delta diverters also contribute to depletions from the Delta.

Also, it's obvious that the BDCP process and IF project cannot be held responsible for covering restoration needs-- hence some proportion comes from the public (ideally, with linkage to diverters, tho Laird's answer was 'general fund'.)

All this speaks to need for State Board involvement and a broader approach to responsibility for supporting Delta protection.

Has anyone considered a supply approach, with IF, that is designed to encourage conservation-based transfers across existing diverters, in combination with Delta improvements? That would include

translating Delta /Bay water needs (inflow, suitable hydrology, outflow) into maximum diversions (or inflow requirements) apportioned across tribes to the Delta and setting up some process that encourages (e.g.) export supplies achieved through upstream efficiencies. (Not a radical idea, just a recombination of pieces in play. And underpinned by the premise that the conservation would be implementing a regulatory requirement- i.e., a change in perpetuity, converting a claim to diversion to one of those instream dedications.)
Not obviously attractive to WWD but perhaps with sufficient merit to garner support among current critics, and maybe provide stronger basis for general fund contributions.

C

Carolyn Yale
US EPA Region 9
Watersheds Office, WTR-3
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

phone: 415-972-3482
yale.carolyn@epa.gov

From: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US
To: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Sam Ziegler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/26/2011 08:30 AM
Subject: BDCP Hayes-Laird meeting yesterday

Hey Delta Team,

Did any of you get a chance to see yesterday's BDCP meeting on webcast? If not, it was good. Here are some highlights. Assume standard material for each of the participants. My highlights include things I thought interesting and/or not part of their regular statements wrt BDCP.

Laird opening remarks -- "real engagement means you can describe a fellow stakeholder's perspective in a way they would agree with and consider fair." Mentioned transparency and executive committee meetings (I think Laird mentioned exec comm. maybe it was Hayes or Meral, one of them did; it perked lots of interest).

Hayes opening remarks --

Oil gusher in the Gulf had big impact on DOI; fully realize SF Bay Delta and CA is one seismic event away from no Delta water going to So Cal for 3-years.

Obama administration is fully in lock-step with Brown administration

Alternatives will be released within weeks or months

Questions fielded

What is status of IFAP? It was updated last December? (is that true? I didn't think it was updated really just re-affirmed, was anything new added?). Hayes mentioned leadership council, short term focus on water transfers, updated allocation today, no mention of ANPR or conservation roundtable stuff.

Why consider an isolated conveyance when it repeatedly wrecks the resource it goes around (Owens Valley, Mono

Lake)? Avoided answer.

Who pays for restoration? S & F water contractors and general fund (Laird)

Timeline for BDCP?

Alts and preferred alt in a few months

DEIS Jan 2012

FEIS 2013

What executive committee? Who is on it? Is it open to the public? Laird didn't know said would be posted to website.

Meral -- many opportunities for public involvement to address laundry list of important issues (paraphrasing here) by using working groups; public forum afterward; public comment period on permit actions.

Conservation Community (Spreck Rosecrans EDF, Jonas Minton PCL, Dick Pool Water for Fish, Gary Bobker TBI, John Cain Am Rivers)

salmon industry cannot sacrifice fish for supply;

What is "scenario 6" ? Process is not transparent.

less pumping in dry years, cannot continue to take more,
use science in decision making, do not "Decide Announce Defend,"
everyone pays not just ecosystem and in Delta communities,
need more trust recent legislative efforts erode trust.

Delta Communities (Mike Reagan Solano Co Supervisor, Mike McGowan Yolo Co Supervisor, Chris Cabaldon West Sac Mayor, Tom Zuckerman Rec District 17).

BDCP perpetuates the myth that former levels of water exports are sustainable. 4.5 MAF is unrealistic expectation. North coast supply never developed (what is this? mentioned twice), cannot base water contracts on unrealistic and undeveloped supplies.

State and federal water contractors offered to fund state and federal regulatory agencies b/c budgets were being cut (????)

Consumptive use interests are only interested in BDCP to increase water exports

No specifics on ecosystem restoration; only specific item is peripheral canal

BDCP process not addressing state law and requirements to reduce reliance on Delta for water, increase conservation and water supply independence, and ignoring Delta flows report.

Water Users (Tom Birmingham, Westlands Water District, Greg Gartrell, Contra Costa Water District, Beau Goldie, Santa Clara Valley Water District, David Guy, Northern California Water Association)

We are all water users as you consume produce from Westlands

Meeting creates false expectations that all farming will persist in Delta. Cannot restore habitats and farm them, accept it.

We don't know the effects of an isolated facility on water quality and won't until we build it.

Ditto low flows in Sac River on predation, need adaptive mgmt

We shall not overcome uncertainty

Need storage

Govt unwilling to make difficult decisions. We need to move forward with strong leadership.

Erin Foresman

Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator,

US EPA Region 9 C/O Army Corps of Engineers

650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 557 5253, Fax: (916) 557 6877

<http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html>

